



CITYSERVICES, INC
P.O. Box 3217
538 Powell Dr.
Thomasville, GA 31799

Tel ☎ 229-226-6569
Fax ☎ 229-227-0335
Email ✉ cityservicesinc@gmail.com

PCS PHOSPHATE

Suwannee River Chemicals

NATURAL GAS LEAK SURVEY

JUNE 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|------------------------------------|----|
| FACILITY LEAK COUNT----- | 1 |
| FOLLOW-UP ACTIVITY----- | 7 |
| GRADE I LEAK----- | 4 |
| GRADE II LEAK----- | 5 |
| GRADE III LEAK----- | 6 |
| LEAK CLASSIFICATION GRADE I----- | 8 |
| LEAK CLASSIFICATION GRADE II----- | 10 |
| LEAK CLASSIFICATION GRADE III----- | 12 |
| LEAK GRADES----- | 3 |
| LEAK GRADING TECHNIQUES----- | 2 |

June 2018

Potash Corporation
Suwannee River Chemicals
Post Office Box 300
White Springs, Florida 32096-0300
ATTN: Mr. Ken Tut
Project Representative

| |
|----------------------------------|
| ANNUAL NATURAL GAS SAFETY SURVEY |
|----------------------------------|

A natural gas leakage survey was conducted for Potash Corporation Suwannee River Chemicals, White Springs, Florida during the month of June 2018. An area including the entire gas distribution system, as represented by management, was surveyed for natural gas leaks.

There were no Grade I leaks, Grade II leaks or Grade III leaks detected during this survey. No leaks were detected on the following facilities:

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|---------------|---|---------|---|-----------|---|
| METER - | 0 | REGULATOR - | 0 | RISER - | 0 | CUT OFF - | 0 |
| SERVICE - | 0 | SERVICE TAP - | 0 | VALVE - | 0 | MAIN - | 0 |

Any leak detected will be classified according to the criteria on the following pages.

Leak Grading Techniques

Leak grading provides standard evaluation of leak hazards to establish repair priority. That is why leaks must be graded consistently.

When a leak is detected, use a Combustible Gas Indicator (CGI) or an approved Electronic Gas Detector to evaluate and classify it. These are the only two instruments that may be used to classify a leak. Whichever instrument is used, approach every leak as if it is hazardous. Take all safety precautions.

When evaluating any gas leak indication, the first step is to determine the perimeter of the leak area. When this perimeter extends to a building wall, continue the investigation into the building.

Should gas be detected in sanitary sewers or ducts, make further tests in both the manhole and the lateral openings into the manhole. With the manhole lid off, the highest sustained reading in either the manhole or lateral is the true reading.

When evaluating underground leaks, use the highest sustained reading obtained with continued aspiration of the CGI bulb after natural ventilation of a sufficient number of bar holes. Usually, this will be no more than 12 aspirations.

Leak Grades

Based on an evaluation of the location and magnitude of a leak, assign a leak grade (classification) to establish the leak repair priority. Leaks fall into one of three grades, as defined below:

- **Grade I:**

A leak that represents an existing or probable hazard to persons or property and requires immediate repair or continuous action until the conditions are no longer hazardous.

- **Grade II:**

A leak that is recognized as being non – hazardous at the time of detection, but requires scheduled repair based on probable future hazard.

- **Grade III:**

A leak that is non – hazardous at the time of detection and can reasonably be expected to remain non - hazardous.

Grade I Leak

Grade I leaks present an immediate and definite threat and require immediate repair or continuous action until the condition is eliminated.

Some examples of Grade I leaks include:

- Gas migration into or under a building.
- Gas migration into a tunnel, conduit, sanitary sewer or related manhole.
- Gas migration into an enclosure which contains equipment that may be a source of ignition.
- Readings outside a building where gas is likely to migrate to the building.
- A leak where gas has ignited.

Take any necessary action to protect life and property ***immediately***. Report these leaks to repair personnel as soon as possible. If necessary, implement the company emergency action plan. This plan may include evacuating the premises, blocking off an area, re-routing traffic, venting the area, stopping gas flow by closing valves or other means and notifying fire and police departments.

Eliminate all sources of ignition in the area. These may include heaters, de – energizing air conditioners, electric motors, starters, electrical switches and any other sources of sparks.

Remember not to operate electrical appliances in a gaseous atmosphere, even to turn them off or unplug them. If it is necessary to shut off electrical power, do it at the pole or other location away from the leak area.

Grade II Leak

Grade II leaks are not an immediate hazard, but because of location, volume or pressure, may become a hazard. Classify leaks Grade II only after investigation (including extensive bar testing for underground leaks) determines that the leak does not meet the criteria for a Grade I leak.

Factors influencing Grade II leaks include amount of migration, proximity to buildings and subsurface structures, extent of pavement near and type of soil around the leak, including soil condition.

Florida's minimum requirement is that Grade II leaks must be repaired within 90 days after they were located.

Grade III Leak

Grade III leaks are not a threat to persons or property and are not expected to become a hazard in the future.

Florida law requires that above ground [surface] Grade III leaks be repaired within 90 days of the date they are located unless the leak is upgraded or does not produce a positive leak indication when a soap and water solution, or its equivalent, is applied on suspected locations at operating pressures.

Florida law requires that below ground Grade III leaks be re-evaluated at least once every six months until cleared. The frequency of re-evaluation shall be determined by the location and magnitude of the leak condition.

Follow – up Activity

After a leak is classified and repaired, follow up inspection is required. Follow – up activities include:

- Inspection
- Re – evaluation

Inspection of a Leak

Always ensure that the leak is completely repaired before backfilling. Check the perimeter of the leak area with a Combustible Gas Indicator. Where there is residual gas in the ground after the repair of a Grade I leak, make a follow – up inspection as soon as practical after allowing the soil to vent gas to the atmosphere and stabilize. This should be within one month following the repair. In the case of other leak repairs, the need for a follow – up inspection should be determined by qualified personnel.

Re – evaluation of a Leak

When re – evaluating a leak, the same criteria must be used as when the leak was first graded.

Leak Classification - Grade I

DEFINITION:

Grade I leaks represent an existing or probable hazard to persons or property, and requires immediate repair or continuous action until the conditions are no longer hazardous.

ACTION CRITERIA:

Grade I leaks require prompt action to protect life and property, and continuous action until the conditions are no longer hazardous. The adequacy of all repairs of leaks shall be checked by appropriate methods immediately after the repairs are completed. Where there is residual gas in the ground, a follow-up inspection using a gas detector instrument must be made as soon as the gas has had an opportunity to dissipate, but no later than one month for Grade I leaks. The date and status of re-check shall be recorded on the leak repair records.

Prompt action in some instances may require one or more of the following:

- Implementation of Company emergency plan (§192.615)
- Evacuating premises
- Block off an area
- Re-route traffic
- Eliminate ignition sources
- Venting the area
- Notify Police and Fire departments
- Stopping the flow of gas by closing valves or other means

Leak Classification - Grade I

EXAMPLES:

- Any leak which in the judgement of the operating personnel at the scene, is regarded as an immediate hazard.
- Escaping gas that has ignited.
- Any indication of gas which has migrated into or under a building, or into a tunnel.
- Any reading at the outside wall of a building, or where gas would likely migrate to an outside wall of a building.
- Any reading of 80% Lower Explosive Limit, or greater, in a confined space.
- Any reading of 80% Lower Explosive Limit, or greater in small substructures (other than gas associated substructures) from which gas would likely migrate to the outside wall of a building.
- Any leak that can be seen, heard or felt, and which is in a location that may endanger company personnel or property.

Leak Classification - Grade II

DEFINITION:

A leak that is recognized as being non-hazardous at the time of detection, but justifies scheduled repair based on probable future hazard.

ACTION CRITERIA:

Grade II leaks should be repaired or cleared within 90 days from the date the leak was originally located, unless due to re-survey the leak is determined to be a Grade III leak. In determining the repair priority, criteria such as the following should be considered. The adequacy of all leak repair shall be checked by appropriate methods immediately after the repairs are completed. Where there is residual gas in the ground, a follow-up inspection using a gas detector instrument must be made as soon as the gas has had an opportunity to dissipate, but no later than six months for Grade II leaks. The date and status of re-check shall be recorded on the leak repair records.

- Amount of migration of gas.
- Proximity of gas to buildings and subsurface structures.
- Extent of pavement.
- Soil type and conditions (such as moisture and natural venting).

Grade II leaks vary greatly in degree of potential hazard. Some Grade II leaks, when evaluated by the above criteria, may justify scheduled repair within the next five working days. Others will justify repair within 30 days. During the working day on which the leak is discovered, these situations should be brought to the attention of the individual responsible for scheduling leak repair.

On the other hand, many Grade II leaks, because of their location and magnitude, can be scheduled for repair on a normal routine basis with periodic re-inspection as necessary.

Leak Classification - Grade II

EXAMPLES:

Any leaks requiring action ahead of adverse changes in venting soil conditions. Any leak which, under adverse soil conditions, would likely migrate to the outside wall of a building.

Leaks requiring action within 90 days are:

- Any reading of 40% Lower Explosive Limit, or greater, under a sidewalk, in a wall to wall paved area that has significant gas migration and does not qualify as a Grade I leak.
- Any reading of 100% Lower Explosive Limit, or greater, under a street in a wall to wall paved area that has significant gas migration and does not qualify as a Grade I leak.
- Any reading less than 80% Lower Explosive Limit in small substructures (other than gas associated substructures) from which gas would likely migrate creating a probable future hazard.
- Any reading between 30% Lower Explosive Limit and 80% Lower Explosive Limit in a confined space.
- Any reading on a pipeline operating at 30% SMYS, or greater, in a class three or four location, which does not qualify as a Grade I leak.
- Any reading of 80% Lower Explosive Limit, or greater, in gas associated substructures.
- Any leak which, in the judgment of operating personnel at the scene, is of sufficient magnitude to justify scheduled repair.

Leak Classification - Grade III

DEFINITION:

A leak that is non-hazardous at the time of detection and can be reasonably expected to remain non-hazardous.

ACTION CRITERIA:

Above ground Grade III leaks shall be repaired within 90 days from the date the leak was originally located unless the leak is upgraded or does not produce a positive leak indication when a soap and water solution, or its equivalent, is applied on suspected locations at operating pressures. Sub-surface Grade III leaks shall be re-evaluated at least once every six months until cleared. The frequency of re-evaluation shall be determined by the location and magnitude of the leak condition.

EXAMPLES:

Leaks requiring re-evaluation at periodic intervals include:

- Any reading of less than 80% Lower Explosive Limit in small gas associated substructures.
- Any reading under a street in areas without wall to wall paving where it is unlikely the gas could migrate to the outside wall of a building.
- Any reading of less than 30% Lower Explosive Limit in a confined area.

City Services, Inc. (CSI)
User Task Status Report

Run by: Bobby Boyd
Run on: 3/26/2015

Whitfield, Mitch

0141 - Visual Inspection For Atmospheric Corrosion

| Qualification Type | Evaluation Date | Expiration Date | Qualified Verified |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Performance | 2/20/2018 | 2/20/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | 2/16/2018 | 2/16/2021 | EV |

0151 - Visual Inspection of Buried Pipe and Components When Exposed

| Qualification Type | Evaluation Date | Expiration Date | Qualified Verified |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Performance | 2/20/2018 | 2/20/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Performance | 2/20/2018 | 2/20/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | 1/25/2018 | 1/25/2021 | EV |

0161 - Visual Inspection for Internal Corrosion

Qualified

| Qualification Type | Evaluations | Evaluation Date | Expiration Date | Verified |
|---|--|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Performance | EWN-PE-Inspect Internal Pipe Surfaces (12, 0161) - 2370 | 2/20/2018 | 2/20/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-CBT-AOC Failure to Follow Procedures - 2207 | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-CBT-AOC Internal Corrosion (12) - 2213 | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-WE-Inspect Internal Pipe Surface (12) - 2685 | 1/25/2018 | 1/25/2021 | EV |
| 0191 - Measure Atmospheric Corrosion | | | | Qualified |
| Qualification Type | Evaluations | Evaluation Date | Expiration Date | Verified |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Performance | EWN-PE-Measure Corroded Area (8.3, 0191) - 2582 | 2/20/2018 | 2/20/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-CBT-AOC Failure to Follow Procedures - 2207 | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-CBT-AOC Insufficient Cathodic Protection - 2212 | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-CBT-Corrosion Control Fundamentals (5.3, 9.2, 1021, 0031, 0091) - 2355 | 1/25/2018 | 1/25/2021 | EV |
| 0201 - Visual Inspection of Installed Pipe and Components for Mechanical Damage | | | | Qualified |
| Qualification Type | Evaluations | Evaluation Date | Expiration Date | Verified |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Performance | EWN-PE-Inspect for Physical Damage on Buried or Submerged pipe (0211) - 2642 | 2/20/2018 | 2/20/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-CBT-AOC Failure to Follow Procedures - 2207 | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-CBT-AOC Inoperability of a Pipeline Component - 2211 | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-WE-AOC Pipeline Damage (L) - 2753 | 1/29/2018 | 1/29/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-WE-Inspect for Physical Damage on Buried or Submerged Pipe (5.1) - 8695 | 1/25/2018 | 1/25/2021 | EV |
| 0211 - Measure and Characterize Mechanical Damage on Installed Pipe and Components | | | | Qualified |

| Qualification Type | Evaluations | Evaluation Date | Expiration Date | Verified |
|---|--|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Performance | EWN-PE-Inspect for Physical Damage on Buried or Submerged pipe (0211) - 2642 | 2/20/2018 | 2/20/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-CBT-AOC Failure to Follow Procedures - 2207 | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-WE-AOC Pipeline Damage (L) - 2753 | 1/29/2018 | 1/29/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-WE-Inspect for Physical Damage on Buried or Submerged Pipe (5.1) - 8695 | 1/25/2018 | 1/25/2021 | EV |
| 0591 - Leak Test at Operating Pressure | Evaluations | Evaluation Date | Expiration Date | Qualified Verified |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-CBT-AOC Failure to Follow Procedures - 2207 | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-CBT-AOC Report of Gas Odor/Liquid Release - 2216 | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| 0991 - Coating Application and Repair | Brushed or Rolled | | | Qualified |
| Qualification Type | Evaluations | Evaluation Date | Expiration Date | Verified |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Performance | EWN-PE-Prepare Surface for Coating Using Hand and Power Tools (13.1) - 2543 | 2/7/2018 | 2/7/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Performance | EWN-PE-Apply Atmospheric Coating Using Hand Application Methods (7.5) - 2580 | 1/25/2018 | 1/25/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-CBT-AOC Failure to Follow Procedures - 2207 | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-CBT-AOC Insufficient Cathodic Protection - 2212 | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-CBT-Atmospheric Corrosion (7.1, 0141) - 2223 | 2/16/2018 | 2/16/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-WE-Apply and Repair External | 2/23/2018 | 2/23/2021 | EV |

| | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-WE-Apply Atmospheric Coating Using Hand Application Methods (7.5) - 8723 | 2/23/2018 | 2/23/2021 | EV |
| 1241 - Outside Gas Leak Investigation | | | | Verified |
| Qualification Type | Evaluations | Evaluation Date | Expiration Date | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Performance | EWN-PE-Leak Survey (1241, 1261) - 2283 | 2/20/2018 | 2/20/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Performance | EWN-PE-Perform/Observe Leak Survey/Patrol - 2455 | 2/20/2018 | 2/20/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-CBT-AOC Failure to Follow | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| | EWN-CBT-AOC Flammable Gas Atmosphere - 2209 | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| | EWN-CBT-AOC Report of Gas | | | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-CBT-Leak Survey and Patrols (52.1, 52.2, 1241, 1261) - 2282 | 2/23/2018 | 2/23/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-CBT-Reporting Field Gas Leaks - 2325 | 2/23/2018 | 2/23/2021 | Qualified |
| 1261 - Walking Gas Leakage Survey | | | | Verified |
| Qualification Type | Evaluations | Evaluation Date | Expiration Date | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - | EWN-PE-Leak Survey (1241, 1261) - | 1/25/2018 | 1/25/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-CBT-AOC Failure to Follow | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| | EWN-CBT-AOC Flammable Gas Atmosphere - 2209 | 1/24/2018 | 1/24/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written | EWN-CBT-Leak Survey and Patrols (52.1, 52.2, 1241, 1261) - 2282 | 2/23/2018 | 2/23/2021 | Qualified |
| 1291 - Locate Underground Pipelines | | | | Verified |
| Qualification Type | Evaluations | Evaluation Date | Expiration Date | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Performance | EWN-PE-Locate Line (14.1, 1291) - | 1/25/2018 | 1/25/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Performance | EWN-PE-Reporting Protocols (15.2, 1311) - 2553 | 1/25/2018 | 1/25/2021 | EV |
| ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Performance | EWN-PE-Use of Probing Equipment (16.1) - 2554 | 1/25/2018 | 1/25/2021 | EV |

EWN-CBT-AOC Failure to Follow
Procedures - 2207
EWN-CBT-AOC Report of Gas
Odor/Liquid Release - 2216
EWN-WE-Locate Pipeline (14.1) -

ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written
ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written
ENERGY worldnet, Inc. - Written

1/24/2018
1/24/2018
2/23/2018

1/24/2021
1/24/2021
2/23/2018

EV
EV
Qualified

CITY SERVICES, INC.
2017 Drug Test Statistical Summary

City Services, Inc.
 Post Office Box 3217
 Thomasville, Georgia 31799

Contact Person: Jerry Allen
 Title: Office Manager
 Telephone: (229) 226 – 6569

Total number of employees in organization: 10

Number of employees in test pool: Full-time: 8
 Temporary: 0
 Part-time: 1
 Others: 0

Summarized is the number of test, number of employees tested, and positive results for each category listed.

| <u>Type of Test</u> | <u>Tests</u> | <u>Tested</u> | <u>Positive Results</u> | <u>Positive For:</u> |
|---------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Pre-employment | 1 | 1 | 0 | N/A |
| Random | 3 | 3 | 0 | N/A |
| Reasonable Cause | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Post Accident | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Post-Rehab | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A |

Indicate positive results by number as follows:
 Marijuana - 1, Cocaine - 2, Opiates - 3, Amphetamines - 4, Phencyclidine - 5.

Indicate test by number as follows:
 Random-1, Post Accident-2, Reasonable Cause-3, Post-Rehab-4, Pre-employment-5

| <u>Age</u> | <u>Sex</u> | <u>Test</u> | <u>Substance Found</u> |
|------------|------------|-------------|------------------------|
| — | — | — | — |
| — | — | — | — |
| — | — | — | — |

Report Prepared By: Jerry Allen
 Period Covered: 1/1/2017 – 12/31/2017

Date Submitted: July 2, 2018
 Distributed To: PCS Phosphates